

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/837,740	04/17/2001	Robert Scott Morris		2801	
7	7590 09/29/2003				
R. Scott Morris			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
PO Box 550 West Wareham, MA 02576			KALAFUT, STEPHEN J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1745		
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/837,740	MORRIS ET AL.				
navicely near	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen J. Kalafut	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	- ·					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 1 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17 (a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 1 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply on the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
<ul><li>(a)</li></ul>						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) 🛮 they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 38 and 39.						
Claim(s) objected to: none.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-36</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 37 and 40-4	<u>13</u> .					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<del>.</del>				
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Newly submitted claims 44-46 are unclear as to whether they require both the alternating atoms or groups (N and O, S and O, N and oxyphosphorus) and the heteroatoms and groups after the word "alternatively", or only require either of these. Some of the heteroatoms and groups listed after the word "alternatively" would still be met by the prior art. For example, Watanabe et al. discloses both oxygen atoms and oxysilicon groups. Also, the amendment does not account for the original claims 1-15, which have bee cancelled. Claims which are (or have previously been) cancelled do not have to be written out. The term "cancelled" in parentheses afte the claim number is sufficient.

Continuation of 10. Other: The information disclosure statement is filed after final and requires both the statement in 37 CFR §1.97(e) and the fee under §1.17(p). Since only the fee is present, the IDS has not been considered..

STEPHEN KALAFUT PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP

170